



A-level ENGLISH LANGUAGE 7702/1

Paper 1 Language, the individual and society

Mark scheme

June 2025

Version: 1.0 Final



Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

No student should be disadvantaged on the basis of their gender identity and/or how they refer to the gender identity of others in their exam responses.

A consistent use of 'they/them' as a singular and pronouns beyond 'she/her' or 'he/him' will be credited in exam responses in line with existing mark scheme criteria.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from [aqa.org.uk](https://www.aqa.org.uk)

Copyright information

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Copyright © 2025 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

English Language Mark Scheme

How to Mark

Aims

When you are marking your allocation of scripts your main aims should be to:

- recognise and identify the achievements of students
- place students in the appropriate mark band and in the appropriate part of that mark band (high, low, middle) for **each** Assessment Objective
- record your judgements with brief notes, annotations and comments that are relevant to the mark scheme and make it clear to other examiners how you have arrived at the numerical mark awarded for each Assessment Objective
- put into a rank order the achievements of students (not to grade them – that is done later using the rank order that your marking has produced)
- ensure comparability of assessment for all students, regardless of question or examiner.

Approach

It is important to be **open-minded** and **positive** when marking scripts.

The specification recognises the variety of experiences and knowledge that students will have. It encourages them to study language in a way that is relevant to them. The questions have been designed to give them opportunities to discuss what they have found out about language. It is important to assess the quality of **what the student offers**.

Do not mark scripts as though they are mere shadows of some Platonic ideal (or the answer **you** would have written). The mark schemes have been composed to assess **quality of response** and not to identify expected items of knowledge.

Assessment Objectives

This component requires students to:

- AO1:** Apply appropriate methods of language analysis, using associated terminology and coherent written expression
- AO2:** Demonstrate critical understanding of concepts and issues relevant to language use
- AO3:** Analyse and evaluate how contextual factors and language features are associated with the construction of meaning
- AO4:** Explore connections across texts, informed by linguistic concepts and methods.

The marking grids

The specification has generic marking grids with a hierarchy of performance characteristics for each Assessment Objective that are customised with indicative content for individual tasks. These have been designed to allow consistent assessment of the range of knowledge, understanding and skills that the specification demands across all tasks.

Within each Assessment Objective there are five broad levels representing different levels of achievement. Do not think of levels equalling grade boundaries.

You will be giving a mark to each separate Assessment Objective tested by a task.

Depending on the question, the levels will have different mark ranges assigned to them. This will reflect the different weighting of Assessment Objectives in particular tasks and across the examination as a whole. You may be required to give different marks to bands for different Assessment Objectives.

There is the same number of marks in each level for an individual Assessment Objective. The number of marks per level will vary from two to four across different Assessment Objectives depending upon the number of marks allocated to the Assessment Objective in a particular question.

Step 1 Using the grids and annotating scripts

These levels of response mark schemes are broken down into five levels, each of which has descriptors.

On the left-hand side of the mark scheme, in bold, are the **generic descriptors** that identify the **performance characteristics** at five distinct levels. These are designed to identify clearly different levels and types of performance.

On the right-hand side are statements of **indicative content**. These give examples of the kind of things students might do that would exemplify the level. They are neither exhaustive nor required – they are simply indicative of what would appear at this level.

Having familiarised yourself with the descriptors and indicative content, read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed below) to identify the qualities that are being looked for and that it shows.

As you mark a script, use annotations to identify exactly where the student shows the performance characteristics noted in the mark scheme. You should note where they give evidence of the indicative content you have been given.

Remember they may do things not mentioned in the indicative content but of similar quality – reward these too.

You can now check the levels and award a mark.

Step 2 Writing a comment to determine a level

Look back at the script. If you have made precise, full and accurate annotations it should be easy to work out what level to award the answer.

When assigning a level, you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest.

When you first look at some answers, it is useful to start with the lowest level of the mark scheme and use the mark scheme as a ladder to see how far the answer can go up the scale.

The descriptors for a level indicate the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level.

Good answers will not necessarily be characterised by the descriptors in lower bands because they will be doing better things. You may find yourself thinking: no, it's better than that. If so, look to the next level to see if that begins to describe what the answer does.

As you go up through the levels with good answers you will find they do the things characterised by the descriptors.

If an answer meets all the descriptors for a level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptors and the answer. With practice and familiarity, you will find that for better answers you will be able to skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme quickly.

You need to keep going up through the levels to see which descriptors capture what the script has done. Keep checking up through the levels in case it does some of a higher level beyond its typical ceiling.

If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best-fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level: ie if the response fulfils most but not all of level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material, it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

Step 3 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark.

It is often best to start in the middle of the level's mark range and then check and adjust.

If there is a lot of indicative content fully identifiable in the work, you need to give the highest mark in the level. If only some is identifiable or it is only partially fulfilled, then give the lower mark.

The exemplar materials used during standardisation will also help. These scripts will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the exemplar to determine if it is of the same standard, better or worse. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the exemplar.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Annotating scripts

It is vital that the way you arrive at a mark should be recorded on the script. This will help you with making accurate judgements and it will help any subsequent markers to identify how you are thinking, should adjustment need to be made.

Where?

- In the body of the script.
- At the end of the answer.

What annotations?

1 Ticks

- Used for **AO1**.
- Placed **in the body of the script**.
- **At a point of credit**.
- **Single** for **up to Level 3** credit – ✓
- **Double** for **Level 4** credit – ✓✓
- **Triple** for **Level 5** credit – ✓✓✓

2 Stamps

- L1 L2 L3 L4 L5.
- Used for **AO2**, **AO3** and **AO4** in the **body of the script**.
- **At a point of credit**.

3 Question marks

- Used in the **body of the script**.
- To indicate questionable points.

4 Written comments

- A **comment box** at the end of the answer for each Assessment Objective.
- Choose the right colour:
 - **AO1 red**
 - **AO2 blue**
 - **AO3 green**
 - **AO4 purple**
- Begin by writing AO1, AO2 etc to identify further.
- Don't just copy out grid statements – interpret them in the light of what the script does.

Please do not write negative comments about students' work or their alleged aptitudes. This is unprofessional and it impedes a positive marking approach.

Distribution of Assessment Objectives and Weightings

The table below is a reminder of which Assessment Objectives will be tested by the questions and tasks completed by students and the marks available for them.

Assessment Objective	AO1	AO2	AO3	AO4	Total
Question 1	10		15		25
Question 2	10		15		25
Question 3				20	20
Questions 4/5	15	15			30
					100

Section A – Textual variations and representations**Questions 1 and 2**

- Award a mark out of 10 for AO1, place in the left-hand mark box.
- Award a mark out of 15 for AO3, place in the left-hand mark box.

Question 3

- Award a mark out of 20 for AO4, place in the left-hand mark box.

Section B – Children’s language development**Questions 4 and 5**

- Award a mark out of 15 for AO1, place in the left-hand mark box.
- Award a mark out of 15 for AO2, place in the left-hand mark box.

E-marker2 will total the marks for you and submit them when you have saved your work.

Section A: Textual variations and representations**0 1**Analyse how **Text A** uses language to create meanings and representations.**[25 marks]**

AO1: Apply appropriate methods of language analysis, using associated terminology and coherent written expression		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 9–10	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology, identifying patterns and complexities • apply different levels of language analysis in an integrated way, recognising how they are connected • apply levels of language analysis with rare errors • guide the reader.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • semantic patterns • pragmatic features • sentence and clause types, elements and linking • cohesion and textual structure.
Level 4 7–8	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology with precision and detail • apply two or more levels of language analysis • apply levels of language analysis with occasional errors • develop a line of argument.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • figurative language • word classes in detail • verb tenses, voice, aspect, modals • phrases.
Level 3 5–6	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology consistently and appropriately • label features that have value for the task • label features with more accuracy than inaccuracy • communicate with clear topics and paragraphs.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • lexical and semantic features • word classes • verb moods • graphology.

Level 2 3–4	Students will: • use linguistic methods and terminology inconsistently and sometimes without value for the task • generalise about language use with limited / unclear evidence • label features with more inaccuracy than accuracy • express ideas with organisation emerging.	Students are likely to: • offer only one or two descriptions, eg a word class, a sentence function (4) • generalise about formality and / or complexity (4) • make unsupported generalisations about language used (3) • use a linguistic register of very general terms eg sentence and word (3) • quote imprecisely to illustrate descriptions (3).
Level 1 1–2	Students will: • quote or identify features of language without linguistic description • present material with limited organisation.	Students are likely to: • quote relevant examples without any linguistic description (2) • write without examples (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

AO3: Analyse and evaluate how contextual factors and language features are associated with the construction of meaning		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • evaluate use of language and representations according to context • explore analysis within wider social and cultural contexts.	Students are likely to: • evaluate representations of history / colonialism / Australia / BLM • evaluate contrasting representations of people for and against removal of statues • evaluate the effect of the journalistic context / the journalist's presentation of the debate / audience positioning.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • analyse how language choices create meanings and representations • analyse how aspects of context work together to affect language use.	Students are likely to: • analyse representation of views for removing the statues • analyse representation of views against removing the statues • analyse representations of individuals / groups.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • interpret significance of specific choices of language according to context • link specific language choices with an aspect of context.	Students are likely to: • interpret language used to describe the statues • interpret language representing the petition • interpret language representing Captain Cook.
Level 2 4–6	Students will: • identify distinctive features of language and significant aspects of context.	Students are likely to: • identify language about Cook and the statues • identify features of the newspaper article • identify audiences and purposes.
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • paraphrase or describe content of texts • misunderstand text or context.	Students are likely to: • give factual information about the writer's ideas / content • show literal understanding of information • rely on lengthy quotations.
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

0 2Analyse how **Text B** uses language to create meanings and representations.**[25 marks]**

AO1: Apply appropriate methods of language analysis, using associated terminology and coherent written expression		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT
		These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 9–10	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology, identifying patterns and complexities • apply different levels of language analysis in an integrated way, recognising how they are connected • apply levels of language analysis with rare errors • guide the reader.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • semantic patterns • pragmatic features • sentence and clause types, elements and linking • cohesion and textual structure.
Level 4 7–8	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology with precision and detail • apply two or more levels of language analysis • apply levels of language analysis with occasional errors • develop a line of argument.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • figurative language • word classes in detail • verb tenses, voice, aspect, modals • phrases.
Level 3 5–6	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology consistently and appropriately • label features that have value for the task • label features with more accuracy than inaccuracy • communicate with clear topics and paragraphs.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • lexical and semantic features • word classes • verb moods • graphology.
Level 2 3–4	Students will: • use linguistic methods and terminology inconsistently and sometimes without value for the task • generalise about language use with limited / unclear evidence • label features with more inaccuracy than accuracy • express ideas with organisation emerging.	Students are likely to: • offer only one or two descriptions, eg a word class, a sentence function (4) • generalise about formality and / or complexity (4) • make unsupported generalisations about language used (3) • use a linguistic register of very general terms eg sentence and word (3) • quote imprecisely to illustrate descriptions (3).

Level 1 1–2	Students will: • quote or identify features of language without linguistic description • present material with limited organisation.	Students are likely to: • quote relevant examples without any linguistic description (2) • write without examples (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

AO3: Analyse and evaluate how contextual factors and language features are associated with the construction of meaning		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • evaluate use of language and representations according to context • explore analysis within wider social and cultural contexts.	Students are likely to: • evaluate representation of discovery and knowledge • evaluate positioning of writer and reader • evaluate the effect of the local / national / Western / historical / religious / biographical contexts.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • analyse how language choices create meanings and representations • analyse how aspects of context work together to affect language use.	Students are likely to: • analyse presentation of Cook's legacy • analyse presentation of how Cook should be judged • analyse presentation of Cook as exceptional / personal qualities.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • interpret significance of specific choices of language according to context • link specific language choices with an aspect of context.	Students are likely to: • interpret language used to describe Cook's fame • interpret language used to describe Cook's achievements • link language used with local / historical audience.
Level 2 4–6	Students will: • identify distinctive features of language and significant aspects of context.	Students are likely to: • identify language about Cook • identify biographical writing • identify audiences and purposes.
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • paraphrase or describe content of texts • misunderstand text or context.	Students are likely to: • give an account of the context of the text • show literal understanding of information • rely on lengthy quotations.
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

0 3

Explore the similarities and differences in the ways that **Text A** and **Text B** use language.
[20 marks]

AO4: Explore connections across texts, informed by linguistic concepts and methods		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 17–20	Students will: • evaluate the importance / significance / effect of connections found across texts.	Students are likely to: • evaluate the effects of audiences and purposes • evaluate the effects of mode / genre • evaluate the effects of social and historical contexts • place texts within wider discourses about culture / colonial history.
Level 4 13–16	Students will: • explore connections between texts by linking language and context.	Students are likely to: • connect language use and audiences and purposes • connect language use and mode / genre • connect language use and social and historical contexts • connect language use and representations.
Level 3 9–12	Students will: • make connections across texts by identifying similar or different uses of language / content / context.	Students are likely to: • compare pronouns • compare sentence types and functions / other language features • compare vocabulary used to describe Cook / statues • compare purposes to inform / persuade / entertain.
Level 2 5–8	Students will: • make connections at a literal level.	Students are likely to: • compare and label different audiences • compare and label different genres • compare text producers • compare topics.
Level 1 1–4	Students will: • discuss relevant aspects of texts without making connections explicitly.	Students are likely to: • make one / two implicit connections (4) • make implicit connections by using similar topics for paragraphs (3) • write about each text separately (2) • write about one text only (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

Section B: Children's language development**0 4**

'Experimenting with language is essential to a child's language development.'

Referring to **Data Set 1** in detail, and to relevant ideas from language study, evaluate this view of children's language development.

[30 marks]

AO1: Apply appropriate methods of language analysis, using associated terminology and coherent written expression		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology, identifying patterns and complexities • apply different levels of language analysis in an integrated way, recognising how they are connected • apply levels of language analysis with rare errors • guide the reader.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • semantic patterns / pragmatic features eg deixis and context dependence • grammatical patterns and rules eg clause, question or tense formation • phonological features and patterns • discourse structure.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology with precision and detail • apply two or more levels of language analysis • apply levels of language analysis with occasional errors • develop a line of argument.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • word classes in detail • verb tenses, voice, aspect, modals • phrases • morphemes.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology consistently and appropriately • label features that have value for the task • label features with more accuracy than inaccuracy • communicate with clear topics and paragraphs.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • lexical and semantic features • word classes • verb moods • turn taking / prosodic features.

Level 2 4–6	Students will: • use linguistic methods and terminology inconsistently and sometimes without value for the task • generalise about language use with limited / unclear evidence • label features with more inaccuracy than accuracy • express ideas with organisation emerging.	Students are likely to: • offer only one or two descriptions, eg a word class, a sentence function (6) • generalise about formality and / or complexity (5) • make unsupported generalisations about language used (4) • use a linguistic register of very general terms eg sentence and word (4) • quote imprecisely to illustrate descriptions (4).
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • quote or identify features of language without linguistic description • present material with limited organisation.	Students are likely to: • quote relevant examples without any linguistic description (3) • write without examples (2) • write a fragmentary response (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

AO2: Demonstrate critical understanding of concepts and issues relevant to language use		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • demonstrate a synthesised, conceptualised and individual overview of issues • evaluate and challenge views, approaches and interpretations of linguistic issues.	Students are likely to: • evaluate and challenge different ways of explaining children's language development, using well-selected examples to support / challenge ideas • synthesise ideas and conceptualise a view of the process • explore contextual and cultural factors eg interactions with family members / gender roles / family roles / domestic routines / play.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • identify and comment on different views, approaches and interpretations of linguistic issues.	Students are likely to: • comment on cognitive explanations • comment on behaviourist explanations • comment on innatist explanations.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • show detailed knowledge of linguistic ideas, concepts and research.	Students are likely to: • illustrate play and functional explanations • illustrate social interaction explanations • discuss linguistic experimentation, eg over-generalisation, over-extensions, word creation, pronunciation.
Level 2 4–6	Students will: • show familiarity with linguistic ideas, concepts and research.	Students are likely to: • show awareness of research by outlining theories without reference to task • identify and describe examples of David's language and explain their functions • identify and describe examples of Seth's language and explain their functions.
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • discuss issues anecdotally without specialist linguistic knowledge.	Students are likely to: • discuss examples of children's language development without linguistic comment (3) • give examples of children's language development (2) • discuss children's development without specific focus on language (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

0	5
---	---

‘Giving detailed scaffolding to guide children’s writing is the most effective way to develop their skills.’

Referring to **Data Set 2** in detail, and to relevant ideas from language study, evaluate this view of children’s language development.

[30 marks]

AO1: Apply appropriate methods of language analysis, using associated terminology and coherent written expression		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students’ work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology, identifying patterns and complexities • apply different levels of language analysis in an integrated way, recognising how they are connected • apply levels of language analysis with rare errors • guide the reader.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • semantic patterns • sentence and clause types, elements and linking • grammatical / lexical words • discourse structure.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology with precision and detail • apply two or more levels of language analysis • apply levels of language analysis with occasional errors • develop a line of argument.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • word classes in detail • verb tenses, voice, aspect, modals • phrases • morphemes / graphemes / phonemes.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • apply linguistic methods and terminology consistently and appropriately • label features that have value for the task • label features with more accuracy than inaccuracy • communicate with clear topics and paragraphs.	Students are likely to describe features such as: • lexical and semantic features • word classes • verb moods • graphology / orthography / punctuation.

Level 2 4–6	Students will: • use linguistic methods and terminology inconsistently and sometimes without value for the task • generalise about language use with limited / unclear evidence • label features with more inaccuracy than accuracy • express ideas with organisation emerging.	Students are likely to: • offer only one or two descriptions, eg a word class, a sentence function (6) • generalise about formality and / or complexity (5) • make unsupported generalisations about language used (4) • use a linguistic register of very general terms eg sentence and word (4) • quote imprecisely to illustrate descriptions (4).
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • quote or identify features of language without linguistic description • present material with limited organisation.	Students are likely to: • quote relevant examples without any linguistic description (3) • write without examples (2) • write a fragmentary response (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	

AO2: Demonstrate critical understanding of concepts and issues relevant to language use		
Level/ Marks	PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS	INDICATIVE CONTENT These are examples of ways students' work might exemplify the performance characteristics in the question above. They indicate possible content and how it can be treated at different levels.
Level 5 13–15	Students will: • demonstrate a synthesised, conceptualised and individual overview of issues • evaluate and challenge views, approaches and interpretations of linguistic issues.	Students are likely to: • evaluate and challenge different ways of explaining children's written language development using well-selected examples • synthesise ideas and conceptualise a view of the process, exploring contextual and cultural factors • evaluate a range of different literacy skills and literary practices, such as exposure to a range of writing models / sharing success criteria / formative teacher feedback / explicit grammar teaching.
Level 4 10–12	Students will: • identify and comment on different views, approaches and interpretations of linguistic issues.	Students are likely to: • comment on individual / physical development • comment on cognitive explanations • comment on creative approaches.
Level 3 7–9	Students will: • show detailed knowledge of linguistic ideas, concepts and research.	Students are likely to: • illustrate educational approaches • illustrate genre approaches • show knowledge of research findings.
Level 2 4–6	Students will: • show familiarity with linguistic ideas, concepts and research.	Students are likely to: • show awareness of research by outlining theories without reference to task • identify features of Miles's writing • identify examples of scaffolding.
Level 1 1–3	Students will: • discuss issues anecdotally without specialist linguistic knowledge.	Students are likely to: • discuss examples of children's language development without linguistic comment (3) • give examples of children's language development (2) • discuss children's development without specific focus on language (1).
0	Nothing written about the text or topic	