

## **GCE**

# **Psychology**

Unit G541: Psychological Investigations

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2015

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2015

\*Where a question asks for one thing (e.g. one strength) if a candidate provides more than one answer only credit the first response. Where a question asks for two things (e.g. two findings) if a candidate provides more than two answers only credit the first two responses.

Q	uesti	ion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	а		The design used is a repeated measures design	Max 2	-Simply saying repeated measures design, or just repeated
			Experimental design clearly identified	2	measures is sufficient for 2 marks here
			Attempt to identify the experimental design (e.g. simply	1	
			saying 'repeated' or 'RMD')		-Reference to lab expt on its own is not creditworthy
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy	0	
			information		-Context is not required here
					-Accept 'within subjects design'
					-Simply describing what repeated measures design involves
					without identifying it (by name) is not creditworthy

Q	Question		Ans	wer	Marks	Guidance
1	<b>Strengths</b> could include: no influence of individual differences between participants in terms of subject variables, such as differences in ability to hear clearly, or musical preferences etc; fewer participants needed overall etc		Max 6	-Context here refers to music (and/or clarity of), dark/light, and hearing etc		
			Weaknesses could include: order effects from the other (listening to music in the light before same stimulus material (piece of music) in ear demand characteristics (becoming aware studark may affect perception) etc  3 marks for strength, 3 marks for weakness	e listening to music in the dark); cannot use ch condition; increases possibility of dy is about how listening to music in the		-Detail refers to some elaboration as to why it is a strength/weakness, or the effect of the strength/weakness
			Clear and detailed outline of strength/weakne in the source material	ess in the context of the material presented	3	-Cap at 2 marks if correct evaluation of repeated
			Clear brief outline of strength/weakness, but in the context of the research outlined in the source material	OR clear and detailed outline of strength/weakness, but not in context of the research outlined in the source material	2	measures design but labelled (identified) as an independent measures design
			Attempt to describe strength/weakness, whet (e.g. strength/weakness identified but not exp		1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditwo	rthy information	0	

Question		Ans	swer		Marks	Guidance
2	The dependent variable (participants' perception of the clarity of the music) was			Max 4	- Context here refers to	
	operationalized by responses	on a scale 1 to	10, where $1 = r^{2}$	not very clear and 10 = very		music (and/or clarity of) and
	clear. Evaluation points can be positive or nega		gative. For exar	nple		hearing or sound
	Positive evaluation points could include: use of a		of a numerical s	scale to produce quantitative		
	data which can be interpreted more objectively and presented clearly		d clearly with descriptive		-Reference to lights/dark is	
	statistics; scale easy to understand with verbal descriptors at each end			not context for this question		
	Negative evaluation points could include: differences in how the scale is interpreted by			(this relates to the IV)		
	different people (possible reliability issues); the scale does not provide qualitative		ot provide qualitative			
	data/information on the reason	ns why the mus	ic was perceive	d as clear or not etc		- maximum 2 marks for
	Correct identification of how the	ne dependent v	ariable has beei	n operationalized and clear	4	evaluation only (i.e. where
	evaluation of the way the DV	nas been opera	tionalized in cor	ntext		there is no identification of
	Correct identification of how	<b>OR</b> Correct id	entification of	<b>OR</b> identification of the way	3	the DV, or incorrect
	the dependent variable has	how the deper	ndent variable	the dependent variable has		identification of the DV)
	been operationalized and	has been ope	rationalized	been operationalized lacks		
	clear evaluation of the way	and an attemp	ot to evaluate	detail/clarity but with clear		-Labelling ends of scale ('not
	the DV has been	the way the D	V has been	evaluation in context		very clear', 'very clear') is not
	operationalized but not in	operationalize	d in context,			required for clear
	context	but unclear				identification of DV (but
	Correct identification of how the	•		on of the way the dependent	2	reference to 1 to 10 is
	variable has been operational	ized and brief	variable has b	een operationalized lacks		required) together with
	attempt to evaluate the way th	ne DV has	detail/clarity w	ith clear evaluation but not in		context
	been operationalized (in conte		context			
	Correct identification of how the	•		on of the way the dependent	1	-Any reference to participant
	variable has been operational	ized		een operationalized lacks		variables (e.g. poor hearing)
				ith brief attempt to evaluate		is not creditworthy
			•	V has been operationalized (in		
			context or not	/		
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information			0		

Q	Question		Answer				Guidance
3	а		The mean is obtained by summing all the scores in a data set and dividing by the number of entries constituting the data set. Scores out of 10 for ratings of the clarity of music listened to in the light would be added up and divided by ten, then the scores out of 10 for ratings of the clarity of music listened to in the dark would be added up and divided by ten			Max 4	- Context here refers to music (and/or clarity of), dark/light etc
				ow the mean would have been calculated for both conditions in this study in			-To be 'clear' (and in
			Clear for one condition and in context	OR attempt to explain how the mean would have been calculated in this study in context, but lacks some clarity	OR clear, but general explanation of how the mean is calculated (no specific reference to the different conditions). No context.	2	context) reference to the conditions needs to refer to light/dark as context
	Attempt to explain how the mean would have been calculated for each condition, but not in context of the information in the source material - e.g. just saying "add up all the scores and divided by the number of scores there are"				1	-Cap at 3 if mean is explained for one condition, but then	
			The candidate has not provide	ed any creditworthy information		0	candidate simply says 'and do the same for the other condition', without referring to light/dark)

Q	Question Answer		swer	Marks	Guidance	
3	b		The median is a more representative form of a measure of central tendency (average) when there is anomalous data, or 'outliers'. Why? – this is because any 'extreme' or 'unusual' scores that would otherwise artificially inflate or deflate the average if the mean was calculated are marginalized and do not feature in the calculation		Max 4	-Context not required, but can be used as an example to clarify/illustrate point made
			Clear explanation of the circumstances unde appropriate <b>and</b> a clear explanation of why	r which the median would be more	4	
			Clear explanation of the circumstances under which the median would be more appropriate and an attempt to explain why	<b>OR</b> Attempt to explain the circumstances under which the median would be more appropriate and clear explanation of why	3	
			Clear explanation of the circumstances under which the median would be more appropriate	OR attempt to explain the circumstances under which the median would be more appropriate and attempt to explain why	2	
			Attempt to explain when the median would be	e more appropriate, but lacks clarity	1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditwo	orthy information	0	

Que	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4		Accept any two findings from, for example: placing coats on the empty seat was the most common territorial marker used; sitting in the middle of the seats was the least common territorial marker used; stretching legs out across empty seat was observed three times etc  2 marks for each finding	Max 4	-Context here refers to bus, passengers or territorial markers (categories)  -The data in the table displays the total number of times each behaviour was exhibited, not the number of people displaying these behaviours. So
		The candidate has stated a clear finding and this is in the context of the research outlined in the source material.	2	any reference to <u>number</u> of people displaying the behaviours is not creditworthy.
		The candidate has stated a finding, but this lacks clarity, or is not in the context of the research outlined in the source material.	1	-Reference to total number of participants observed
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	(30) is incorrect and not creditworthy  -Reference to mean, median and range are not creditworthy.

	Frequency of use of territorial markers by passengers on a bus journey								
Places coat	Puts bag on	Stretches legs out	Sits in the middle	Puts arm out					
on empty seat	empty seat	across empty seat	of two seats	across empty seat					
12	8	3	2	5					

Question		Ans	swer		Marks	Guidance
5	For example, consent	, confidentiality, privacy	, distress, harm, the rigl	nt to withdraw etc	Max 4	-Context here refers to bus, passengers or territorial
	Appropriate ethical iss of how it could be add		context and clear and a	appropriate suggestion	4	markers (categories)
	Appropriate ethical issue described in context and a way to address it but not in context	OR appropriate ethical issue described but not in context with suggestion of how to address it in context	OR Attempt to describe ethical issue and suggestion of how it could be addressed in context	OR appropriate ethical issue described in context and attempt at a way to address it	3	-Accept as 'way to deal with issue' changes to methodology (e.g. use of self-report), that still investigates use of territorial markers
	Description of ethical issue only (in context)	OR suggestion of how to address an ethical issue (in context) that has not been described	OR attempt to describe ethical issue and attempt to address it (in context or not)	OR description of ethical issue and way to address it but neither in context	2	
	Description of ethical context	issue only – not in	OR way to address et in context	hical issue only – not	1	
		t provided any creditwo			0	

Question		n	Answer		Marks	Guidance
6	а	happen to be on the bus a <b>OR</b> event sampling (as ca sampling).	d could be opportunity sampling (a t the time the study takes place th tegories of behaviour are recorded named with justification of how this	at are used as participants) d, which is a feature of event	Max 2	-Context here refers to bus, passengers or territorial markers (categories)
		Sampling method just named	OR justification of what the sampling method is without actually naming it	OR sampling method named and justified but not in context	1	
		The candidate has not pro	vided any creditworthy information	<u>.</u> 1	0	

Q	Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
6	b	OII	Re 'opportunity sampling' Strengths could include; easy to obtain (just passengers on normal bus journey); quick; inexpensive and convenient etc  Weaknesses could include: may not be representative sample; could be biased  Re 'event sampling'	Max 4	-Context here refers to bus, passengers or territorial markers (categories) -Reference to ethics is not creditworthy here.
			Strengths could include: making it easier to record the behaviours (more structured); could improve inter-rater reliability; all behaviours (from the categories) get recorded each time they occur (so could increase validity) etc		-If referring to event sampling the suggested strength and weakness must be specific to the use of event sampling
			Weaknesses could include: only specific predetermined behaviours recorded (so may be less valid); may be problematic trying to record all the (specific) behaviours each time they occur etc		and not the observation method in general (e.g. reference to "natural behaviour is able to be
			2 marks for strength, 2 marks for weakness		recorded as participants are
	Strength / weakness clearly identified in context		2	unaware they are being	
			Strength / weakness identified in general OR Attempt in context	1	studied" as a strength is not
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	creditworthy)

bus using lack of	-Context here refers to bus, passengers or territorial markers (categories)  -Detail refers to some elaboration as to why it is a strength/weakness, or the effect of the strength/weakness  -Accept as a weakness 'lack of replication of findings' However, this needs to be explained (justified) well.
using lack of	to why it is a strength/weakness, or the effect of the strength/weakness -Accept as a weakness 'lack of replication of findings' However, this
3 3	Lack of reproducing the same findings
	on its own is not a weakness per se in observation research (different people
iption 2 ot in ned in	around, different environmental conditions etc can simply account for this). But if discussed as an issue related to problems checking/verifying
ontext 1	findings, and evaluating reliability it is acceptable
0	-Accept strengths and weaknesses related to the use of event sampling here  -Accept strengths and weaknesses related to the use of participant observation here
	0

Q	Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance		
8	а		Random sampling is where each and every member of the target (or a specific) population has an equal and independent chance of being selected as a participant.	Max 2	-It is important that candidates make clear reference to a <i>target</i> , <i>or specific</i> population		
			Random sampling clearly explained	2	-Cap at one 1 mark if just a description of		
			Attempt to explain random sampling	1	how to <i>obtain</i> a random sample		
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0			
					-Cap at 1 mark for reference to 'general population' or just 'population'		
					-No credit for evaluation of random sampling here		

Qı	Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance		
8	b		Accept as strengths: more representative of the target population; able to generalize the findings about helping behaviour more accurately to the target		Max 3	-Context is help / helping behaviour		
			population; less bias in the selection/recruitment of participants etc			-Detail refers to some elaboration as to		
			Strength clearly outlined in context			why it is a strength, or the effect of the		
			Clear and brief outline of strength in context	OR Clear and detailed outline	2	strength		
				of strength, but not in context				
			Attempt to suggest strength of random sampling (whether in context or not)			- If candidates refer to representativeness		
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	or generalizability as the strength they must make reference to 'target' or a specific population for full marks		
						- If candidates refer to population (they don't have to, but if they do) it must state 'target' or a specific population for full marks		

Question		Answe	er		Marks	Guidance		
9	questions they suggest using For 9 marks – detailed described would allow replication and evaluation issue in context.  For 10 marks – Detailed described that would allow replication appropriate evaluation issue. Detailed description of a profit investigate helping behavior allow full replication and clean	otion here candidate st an example of the g) cription of a proceductear, detailed evaluations are context ocedure to ur that would ar, detailed	es must proper are to investigation or	stigate helping behaviour that a reference to at least one restigate helping behaviour with reference to two or more on the helping behaviour with just missions that make replication	Max 10 9-10	-Context is help / helping behaviour  -For full marks reference to the who, what, where, when and how is required in order to allow full replication  -Major omissions is the 'what' and 'how'  'What' refers to examples of each type of question to be used (open/closed/rating scale). However, if no examples = major omission.  Where candidates refer to more than		
	Detailed description of a procedure to investigate helping behaviour that would allow full replication, and attempt at evaluation (whether in context or not)			but detailed evaluation in one in context = 7 marks, two (s)  OR attempt to describe a procedure to investigate helping behaviour but with major omissions that make replication difficult, but with clear and detailed evaluation, in context or not = 5 marks maximum	5-6	one type of question (open, closed, rating) they must give an example of all (otherwise = minor omission).  If scenarios are used (as a stimulus to self report) then at least one example must be given (otherwise = minor omission).  'How' can refer to whether an interview or questionnaire, timed or not, completed anonymously etc (only one of these required)		
	Detailed description of a pro- investigate helping behavior that would allow full replicati evaluation. If only minor om Attempt to describe a proce investigate helping behavior not possible  The candidate has not provi	ocedure to ur ion, but no issions 3 marks dure to ur – replication	investigated but with replication evaluated OR atter investigated not been evaluated.	npt to evaluate a procedure to ate helping behaviour that has a described (i.e. attempted on only)	3-4 1-2 0	-Minor omissions include the who, when and where.  'When' could either be a date and/or time (e.g. 10am), or duration (e.g. had 15 minutes to complete or a week to return/complete)  -Where reference to use of other methodologies (e.g. experiment) there is no credit for description of procedure, and/or evaluation that does not include self-report at some stage		

Question		on	Answer			Guidance
10	а		Any change to the use of the self-report method already presented is acceptable here. For example: changing the number and type of questions asked; changing the sample, or sampling method; changes to how and where the participants complete the self-report, use of an interview instead of questionnaire etc.			-Context is help / helping behaviour
						-Accept changes to questions
			Clear suggestion of a change to how the study could be conducted in context			already presented in
				PR attempt to suggest a change to how the tudy could be conducted in context or not	1	response to the previous question (e.g. asking more
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy	y information	0	open, and fewer closed questions, or vice versa etc)

Question		on	Answer			Guidance
10	b		Responses here will be determined by the answer the candidate provides in response to the previous question.			-Context is help / helping behaviour
			Clear and detailed outline of the effect of the change in context		3	
			Clear but brief outline of the effect of the	Clear and detailed outline of the effect but	2	
			change in context	not in context		
			Attempt to outline the effect of the change whether in context or not		1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information			

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)** 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU** 

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)** Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



