

GCE

Law

Unit G156: Law of Contract Special Study

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2016

G156 Mark Scheme June 2016

These are the annotations, (including abbreviations), including those used in scoris, which are used when marking

Annotation	Meaning of annotation
С	Critical Point (Q1/Q3), Developed Case (Q2)
L1 etc	Analytical/Applied Point 1 etc (Q1/Q3), L5 = Synopticism in Q2
LNK	Linked Case (Q1), Link to Source (Q2)
K	Bald Case (Q1), Conclusion (Q3)
A2	AO2 point (Q2)
\checkmark	AO1 point not linked to an authority
CON	Conclusion (Q2&3)
	Irrelevant
R	Repetition
×	Incorrect

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
*	Potential answers MAY:	16	<u></u>
			Level AO2
	Assessment Objective 2 (12 marks available)		5 11-12
	Analysis, Evaluation and Application		4 9-10
	•		3 7-8
	Explain the critical point (C) of the case: this was a case about the		2 4-6
	alleged frustration of a building contract. Counter-arguments		1 1-3
	included the fact that the labour shortages were foreseeable and		Refer to matrix for descriptors.
	that the courts should not allow a party to escape a contract simply		
	because it had become more onerous or less profitable		Stretch and Challenge and synoptic consideration can be
			demonstrated by candidates who appreciate the overarching
	Link this case with another relevant case (LNK) for development		theme in developing the particular area of law being studied.
	such as: Taylor v Caldwell, Tsakiroglou v Noblee Thorl,		This includes the role of the judges, the role of Parliament,
	Amalgamated Investment Properties v John Walker, Gold Group		the Law Commission, potential avenues for reform or the influence of policy in decision-making where relevant.
	Properties v BDW Trading Ltd, The Sea Angel (Edwinton		Influence of policy in decision-making where relevant.
	Commercial Corporation v Tsavliris Russ)		Mayles about the supplied as fallows.
			Marks should be awarded as follows:
	Discuss the case analytically (A), for example making points such		Max 3 marks for the Critical Point (C)
	as:		Max 5 marks for the Childar Point (C) Max 6 points for Analytical Points (A)
	A1. This is one of the most recent House of Lords cases on		 Max 8 points for Arialytical Points (A) Max 3 points for a relevant Linked Case (LNK)
	frustration and is thus an important authority on the doctrine		• Max 5 points for a relevant Linked Case (LINK)
	A2. This case demonstrates a significant narrowing of the		Level 5
	doctrine of frustration since the days of Krell and Union		Candidates are unlikely to achieve L5 without discussing the
	Marine		CP, without using a linked case for the purpose of showing
	A3. The case shows strong support for the idea that any		development and without making two analytical points.
	foreseeable event should not be held capable of frustrating a		
	contract The cone observe that the country expect portion to take		Level 3
	A4. The case shows that the courts expect parties to take advantage of contractual terms to protect themselves and		Candidates are unlikely to achieve L3 without discussing the
	incorporate in their price any risk of delay. This appears to be		CP.
	a sensible and efficient approach (credit any economic		
	analysis of risk allocation)		
	a. a., old of flore and dation,		

Q		Answer/Indicative content	Mark		Guid	dance	
	A5.	The central aspect of this case has become particularly important during recent years of economic hardship and has remained a cornerstone of the doctrine of frustration. This case includes extended discussion of the justifications for the rules of frustration including an attack on the 'implied term' approach originally seen in <i>Taylor v Caldwell</i> as being illogical as it centres on parties foreseeing the necessarily unforeseeable. Lord Radcliffe suggests a test based on radical difference of circumstance (<i>non haec in foedera veni</i>)					
	A7.	Any other analytical point					
		ssment Objective 3 (4 marks available)				-	
	Com	munication and Presentation		AO2 marks	AO3 mark		
				10-12	4		
		ent logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant		7-8	3		
		rial in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal		4-6	2		
	termi	nology. Reward grammar, punctuation and spelling.		1-3	1]	
						-	

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark			Guida	ance	
2*	Potential answers MAY:	34					
	A		Level	AO1	AO2	AO3	
	Assessment Objective 1 (16 marks available) Knowledge and Understanding		5	14-16	13-14		
	Knowledge and Onderstanding		4	11-13	10-12	4	
	Explain that Frustration was developed to mitigate the harshness of		3	8-10	7-9	3	
	the 'absolute contract' doctrine, <i>Paradine v Jane</i>		2	5-7	4-6	2	
	, and the second		11	1-4	1-3	1	
	Explain that the frustrating event must occur after the formation of the contract (<i>Amalgamated Investment v John Walker</i>) and that the existence of an applicable force majeure clause precludes the application of Frustration (<i>Jackson v Union Marine Insurance Co</i>)		Refer to m	atrix for de	escriptors.		
	Explain the ways in which a contract may be frustrated:		Lavel	A 0.4	1		
	Impossibility of performance:		Level AO1 5 14-16 4 11-13 3 8-10				
	 Due to destruction of subject matter, Taylor v Caldwell, Appleby v Myers 						
	 Due to death or illness of a party essential to performance, Robinson v Davison 		1	5-7 1-4			
	 Due to unavailability of something essential to performance, The Wenjiang (International Sea Tankers of Liberia Inc v Hemisphere Shipping Co of Hong Kong), Jackson v Union Marine Insurance Co, The Nema (Pioneer Shipping v BTP Tioxide) 		Level 5 Responses are unlikely to achieve level 5 without increlevant cases/statutory provisions of which 6 are de Responses are likely to use material from both within and beyond the resource materials including a specif				
	 Radical change of circumstances, Krell v Henry 		the resource materials. Developed discussion of cases/statutes should include a direct link to cited cases/statutory provision and include sufficient factual material to ensure accuracy of citation and to support			sion of	
	 Illegality of performance, Fibrosa v Fairbarn Lawson, Denny, Mott and Dickinson v James Fraser & Co, 					icient factual	
	Explain the circumstances where the courts will decide that frustration will not apply:		discussion		es are like		e well developed
	Where performance would be possible but more difficult/expensive or less profitable, <i>Tsakiroglou v Noblee</i>						

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
	 Thorl, Amalgamated Investment v John Walker, Davis Contractors v Fareham, Gold Group Properties v BDW Trading Ltd Where the change of circumstances is insufficiently radical, Herne Bay v Hutton, Davis Contractors v Fareham 		Level 4 Responses are unlikely to achieve L4 without including 6 relevant cases/statutory provisions, 4 of which will be developed. Responses are likely to include good explanations and definitions.
	 Where the potentially frustrating event was foreseeable, Amalgamated Investment v John Walker, Davis Contractors v Fareham, The Sea Angel (Edwinton Commercial Corporation v Tsavliris Russ) Where the impossibility of performance was due to the fault or choice of one of the parties, The Eugenia (Ocean Tramp Tankers Corpn v V/O Sofracht), Maritime National Fish Ltd v Ocean Trawlers, The Super Servant 2 (J Lauritzen A/S v Wijsmuller BV) Where the legal changes are peripheral, Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines v Steamship Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd Explain that the effect of Frustration is to discharge the contract at that point Hirji Mulji v Cheong Yue Steamship Co Explain the common law rules on loss: The old 'loss lies where it falls' rule (Chandler v Webster) was replaced by the 'total failure of consideration' rule in Fibrosa Explain the provisions of the Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943: 		Level 3 Candidates are unlikely to achieve L3 without including 4 relevant cases/statutory provisions, 2 of which will be developed. Responses are likely to include adequate explanations and definitions. Level 2 Responses are unlikely to achieve Level 2 without including 2 linked cases. Responses are likely to include limited explanations and definitions. Level 1 Responses are not required to discuss any cases. Responses are likely to include very limited explanations and definitions.
	 Section 1(2) where money paid/payable in advance of the frustrating event may be reclaimed/need not be paid, possibly minus just expenses (Gamerco SA v ICM/Fair Warning Agency) 		

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
	Section 1(3) where a party has to account for any unjust enrichment gained Credit reference to the fact that Frustration does apply to leases, National Carriers Ltd v Panalpina (Northern) Ltd though this is not required for full marks Credit reference to the s7 of the Sale of Goods Act with regard to sale of specific goods though this is not required for full marks Credit any other relevant point(s).		
	Assessment Objective 2 (14 marks available)		AO2
	Analysis, Evaluation and Application Points may include: Discussion of the different possible concepts of 'justice' in this area – creating fairness between the two parties when something has gone wrong, or simply enforcing an agreement freely made (the deeper 'justice' of the sanctity of contract) Discussion of the fact that for frustration to be successfully argued performance must be impossible with neither party at fault – 'justice' therefore must necessarily involve some sort of balancing out of losses		Level AO2 5 13-14 4 10-12 3 7-9 2 4-6 1 1-3 Stretch and Challenge and synoptic consideration can be demonstrated by candidates who appreciate the overarching theme in developing the particular area of law being studied. This includes the role of the judges, the role of Parliament, the Law Commission, potential avenues for reform or the influence of policy in decision-making where relevant.
	Discussion of the 'injustice' of allowing people to 'weasel' out of contracts simply because they have become bad bargains, hence the courts' narrowing of the application of the doctrine can be seen as just in this respect Discussion of the fact that it would be most unjust to allow frustration to be pleaded when an event is self-inflicted or foreseen, hence the law in this regard appears just		Level 5 Responses are unlikely to achieve Level 5 without sophisticated analytical evaluation of the relevant areas of law, being very focused on the quote and providing a logical conclusion with some synoptic content.

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark		Guidance	
	Discussion of the fact that the courts would much prefer parties to freely negotiate their own 'just' settlement in advance through <i>force majeure</i> or hardship clauses (credit ref to <i>laissez faire</i> here) Discussion of the fact that the LR(FC)A achieves much more just results than the old common law rules ever did. Note the use of discretion within the sections as a vehicle of justice Discussion of the potential lacuna in the Act (no expenses protection is provided where no monies are paid/payable before frustration) noting that this still may be seen as just as it reflects the freely arrived at terms of the contract and most would see it as very unwise to agree to be paid in full on completion Any other relevant point		Level 4 Responses are unlikely analytical evaluation of focus on the quote. Level 3 Responses are unlikely analytical evaluation of focus on the quote. Level 2 Responses are unlikely some limited analytical law. Responses are unlikely some very limited analytical of law. Responses are unlikely some very limited analytical law.	the relevant area to achieve Leve the relevant area to achieve Leve evaluation of the likely to discuss to to achieve Leve /tical evaluation of	I 3 without adequate as of law and some I 2 without at least relevant areas of the quote. I 1 without at least of the relevant areas
	Assessment Objective 3 - Communication and presentation Present logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.		AO1 + AO2 marks 24-30 17-23 9-16 1-8	AO3 Mark 4 3 2 1	

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark			Guida	ance
3	Potential answers MAY:	30				
			Level	AO		
	Assessment Objective 1 (10 marks available)		5	9-1		
	Knowledge and Understanding		4	7-8		
	Define the relevant rules and use any relevant cases as authorities for those rules.		3	5-6		
			2	3-4		
			1	1-2		
	Assessment Objective 2 (20 marks available)		Refer to m	atrix fo	or descriptors.	
	Analysis, Evaluation and Application		Morke ch	auld b	o awardad (ne	er scenario) as follows:
	•		IVIAI KS SIIC	Julu D	e awarueu (pe	er scenario, as follows.
	In the case of a) :		Mark lev	rolo	(a) (b) ar (a)	7
			wiark lev	reis	(a), (b) or (c) 9-10	-
	C Identify whether this will result in a fundamental difference to		4		7-8	-
	that originally agreed, will it render the contract radically		3		<u>7-6</u> 5-6	-
	different from what was undertaken by the contract (<i>Davis</i> Contractors v Fareham UDC)		2		3-4	-
	,		1		1-3	-
	L1 Identify that Bryony could argue that the contract is		<u> </u>		1-0	_
	impossible due to the cancellation of the flight (Jackson v Union		A			
	Marine Insurance)				s marks can b	e allocated for AO1 for each
	L2 Identify that the courts will not find a contract frustrated		part questi			
	merely because it is more onerous so the extra time taken is				arks for the crit	
	irrelevant (Amalgamated Investment v John Walker,				arks for applied	
	Tsakiroglou Co Ltd v Noblee Thorl GmbH)					l conclusion/assessment of
	L3 Identify that Bryony could also argue that the contract is		tne	most	likely outcome	in terms of liability (CON)
	commercially pointless as she can no longer make any profit			_		
	(Krell v Henry)					nses must include a
	L4 Identify that the courts will not find a contract frustrated				Critical Point,	a relevant case and a
	merely because it has become a bad bargain so Bryony's £50		conclusion	١.		
	loss is irrelevant (Gold Group Properties v BDW Trading Ltd)					
						eve level 5 if the conclusion is
	CON Conclude that the contract has not been frustrated and		incorrect a	<u>ınd</u> cor	ntradicted by th	ne reason offered.
	Bryony will have to perform					

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
	In the case of b) :		
	C Identify that the contract is frustrated due to destruction of something (Karim's factory) essential to the performance of the contract (<i>Taylor v Caldwell</i>)		
	L1 Identify that Lauren should have paid £1,000 already but that under s1(2) of the LR(FC)A that money no longer has to be paid		
	L2 Identify that s1(2) of the LR(FC)A states that set-off can be claimed if money was already paid or payable. Even though Lauren has not paid the £1,000 Karim will be able to claim for lawful expenditure		
	L3 Identify that under s1(3) LR(FC)A, Lauren can be made to pay a just sum for the benefit that she has received		
	L4 Identify that Lauren has received a benefit <i>BP Exploration v Hunt, Gamerco SA v ICM/Fair Warning (Agency) Ltd</i>		
	CON Conclude Lauren will probably have to pay £1,500 for the footballs received plus a possible sum for expenses		
	In the case of c) :		
	C Identify that the contract has been frustrated due to the change in the law on pink diamond trading, subsequent illegality (Fibrosa)		
	L1 Identify that the courts will not find a contract frustrated where the frustrating event was foreseeable and not being able to get hold of enough diamonds was foreseeable and hence this will not frustrate the contract (<i>Davis Contractors v Fareham UDC</i>)		

Q	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
	L2 Identify that the courts will not find a contract frustrated where the impossibility of performance was due to the fault or choice of one of the parties (self-induced) (<i>Maritime National Fish Ltd v Ocean Trawlers, The Super Servant 2 (J Lauritzen A/S v Wijsmuller BV)</i>		
	L3 Identify that s1(2) of the LR(FC)A can only help Vlad with his expenses if money was already paid or payable		
	L4 Identify that s1(3) of the LR(FC)A is not applicable as Seetha has not received any benefit		
	CON Conclude that Vlad will not receive any money for his expenses and Seetha will not be liable for the balance of £30,000.		

APPENDIX 1: Advanced GCE Law Levels of Assessment

There are **five** levels of assessment of AOs 1 and 2 in the A2 units. The first four levels are very similar to the four levels for AS units. The addition of a fifth level reflects the expectation of higher achievement by candidates at the end of a two-year course of study. There are **four** levels of assessment of AO3 in the A2 units. The requirements and number of levels differ between AS and A2 units to reflect the expectation of higher achievement by candidates at the end of a two-year course of study.

Level	Assessment Objective 1	Assessment Objective 2	Assessment Objective 3 (includes QWC)
5	Wide ranging, accurate, detailed knowledge with a clear and confident understanding of relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate with wide citation of relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to identify correctly the relevant and important points of criticism showing good understanding of current debate and proposals for reform or identify all of the relevant points of law in issue. A high level of ability to develop arguments or apply points of law accurately and pertinently to a given factual situation, and reach a cogent, logical and well-informed conclusion.	
4	Good, well-developed knowledge with a clear understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate by good citation to relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to identify and analyse issues central to the question showing some understanding of current debate and proposals for reform or identify most of the relevant points of law in issue. Ability to develop clear arguments or apply points of law clearly to a given factual situation, and reach a sensible and informed conclusion.	An accomplished presentation of logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a very clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
3	Adequate knowledge showing reasonable understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate with some citation of relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to analyse most of the more obvious points central to the question or identify the main points of law in issue. Ability to develop arguments or apply points of law mechanically to a given factual situation, and reach a conclusion.	A good ability to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
2	Limited knowledge showing general understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. There will be some elaboration of the principles, and where appropriate with limited reference to relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to explain some of the more obvious points central to the question or identify some of the points of law in issue. A limited ability to produce arguments based on their material or limited ability to apply points of law to a given factual situation but without a clear focus or conclusion.	An adequate ability to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a reasonably clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
1	Very limited knowledge of the basic concepts and principles. There will be limited points of detail, but accurate citation of relevant statutes and case-law will not be expected.	Ability to explain at least one of the simpler points central to the question or identify at least one of the points of law in issue. The approach may be uncritical and/or unselective.	A limited attempt to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a limited manner using some appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Head office Telephone: 01223 552552

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



