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General Marking Guidance

• All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.

• Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.

• Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.

• There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.

• All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate’s response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.

• Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.

• When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate’s response, the team leader must be consulted.

• Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Although the assessment objectives are weighted separately, they are inter-related.

AO1 requires informed insight and awareness of differing viewpoints, and AO2 requires knowledge and understanding of the specification content.
Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar Marking Guidance

- The spelling, punctuation and grammar assessment criteria are common to GCSE English Literature, GCSE History, GCSE Geography and GCSE Religious Studies.

- All candidates, whichever subject they are being assessed on, must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.

- Spelling, punctuation and grammar marking criteria should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have demonstrated rather than penalised for errors.

- Examiners should mark according to the marking criteria. All marks on the marking criteria should be used appropriately.

- All the marks on the marking criteria are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the marking criteria.

- Examiners should be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate’s response is not worthy of credit according to the marking criteria.

- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the marking criteria to a candidate’s response, the team leader must be consulted.

- Crossed out work should be marked unless the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

- Handwriting may make it difficult to see if spelling, punctuation and grammar are correct. Examiners must make every effort to assess spelling, punctuation and grammar fairly and if they genuinely cannot make an assessment, the team leader must be consulted.

- Specialist terms do not always require the use of complex terminology but the vocabulary used should appropriate to the subject and the question.

- Work by candidates with an amanuensis, scribe or typed script should be assessed for spelling, punctuation and grammar.

- Examiners are advised to consider the marking criteria in the following way:
  - How well does the response communicate the meaning?
  - What range of specialist terms is used?
  - How accurate is the spelling, punctuation and grammar?
### Unit 8: Religion and Society Based on a Study of Christianity and at Least One Other Religion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Correct Answer</th>
<th>Partially Correct Answer</th>
<th>Reject</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 (a) AO1       | • the Ten Commandments  
• ten rules given by God  
• ten laws given to Moses  

Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. | • Christian rules  
• the commandments  
• rules given by God  
• an example of a commandment  
• 10 rules  

Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. | Answers which define a different key word | (2)   |
| (2)             | (1)                                                                     | (0)                                             |        |      |
### Question Number
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 (b) AO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Indicative content

Answers which think Christians should support human rights are likely to use such reasons as:
- human rights reflect the Ten Commandments
- they follow the teachings of Jesus
- they maintain justice in the legal system

Answers which think Christians should not support human rights are likely to use such reasons as:
- human rights may conflict with biblical teaching
- they may encourage Christians to sin
- they may conflict with Church teaching

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

#### Award marks as follows:

- For a personal response with:
  - one brief reason (e.g. they may conflict with Church teaching)  
    
    1 mark

- For a personal response with:
  - two brief reasons
  - or one developed reason (e.g. they may conflict with Church teaching by allowing homosexuals to marry)  
    
    2 marks

- For a personal response with:
  - two reasons with one developed  
    
    3 marks

- For a personal response with:
  - two developed reasons  
    
    4 marks
The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)

**1 (c) AO1**

Answers which only explain one attitude cannot go above level 3.

The main reasons some agree include:
- it allows Christians to follow Jesus’ teaching today
- it can be used in any situation
- it enables a flexible response

The main reasons some disagree include:
- it may not lead to the most loving outcome for everyone
- it may lead to breaking a religious rule
- it may be against the law

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
- giving a brief reason for one attitude  
- not explaining but only describing two attitudes.  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using two brief reasons for one attitude  
- or a developed reason for one attitude  
- or a brief reason for each of two attitudes.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using three brief reasons  
- or a fully developed reason  
- or two reasons with one developed  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Level 4</strong></th>
<th>7-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by reference to two views:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• using four brief reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two developed reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two reasons with one fully developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or three reasons with one developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.
### Question Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (d) AO2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicative content

Reasons for supporting this statement could be:
- the political parties don’t represent people’s views
- it doesn’t change anything
- there is nobody worth voting for

Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:
- it allows you to influence how the country is governed
- it allows you to support parties which uphold Christian values
- it enables your voice to be heard

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

#### Award marks as follows:

Candidates who do not refer to Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).

(i) Own opinion

1 mark for
- a simple reason

2 marks for
- a developed reason
- or two simple reasons

3 marks for
- three simple reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or a fully developed reason

(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion

1 mark for
- a simple reason

2 marks for
- a developed reason
- or two simple reasons

3 marks for
- three simple reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or a fully developed reason
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1 (a, b, c, d)</th>
<th>Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong> Errors severely hinder the meaning of the response or candidates do not spell, punctuate or use the rules of grammar within the context of the demands of the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold performance</td>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong> <strong>1 mark</strong> Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy in the context of the demands of the question. Any errors do not hinder meaning in the response. Where required, they use a limited range of specialist terms appropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate performance</td>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong> <strong>2-3 marks</strong> Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with considerable accuracy and general control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a good range of specialist terms with facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High performance</td>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong> <strong>4 marks</strong> Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with consistent accuracy and effective control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a wide range of specialist terms adeptly and with precision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Correct Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2 (a) AO1       | • a group which tries to be elected into power on the basis of its policies (e.g. Labour, Conservative)  
                  • people with common views working together to be elected  
                  **Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.** | • an example of a political party  
                  • people trying to get elected  
                  • a description of what a political party does  
                  • a group of politicians  
                  **Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.** | Answers which define a different key word                                                                 | 2 (0) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 (b)</strong> AO2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Answers which think Christians should follow the teachings of the Church are likely to use such reasons as:

- the Church is how Jesus works in the world today
- it is the voice of God
- its leaders are appointed by God

Answers which do not think Christians should follow the teachings of the Church are likely to use such reasons as:

- it may not be the most loving thing to do
- it may contradict the conscience
- it may be wrong

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

**Award marks as follows:**

- For a personal response with:
  - one brief reason (e.g. the Church is how Jesus works in the world today)
    1 mark

- For a personal response with:
  - two brief reasons
  - or one developed reason (e.g. the Church is how Jesus works in the world today because it teaches about modern issues)
    2 marks

- For a personal response with:
  - two reasons with one developed
    3 marks

- For a personal response with:
  - two developed reasons
    4 marks
The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)

2 (c) AO1

The main ways include:
- it can cure genetic disorders
- it can produce drugs
- it can increase plant yield / range / disease resistance
- it can be used to grow human organs

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
  - giving a way  
  - not explaining but only describing the reference  
  The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
  - using two brief ways  
  - or a developed way.  
  The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
  - using three brief ways  
  - or a fully developed way  
  - or two ways with one developed.  
  The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:
- using four brief ways
- or two developed ways
- or two ways, one brief and one fully developed
- or three ways with one developed
- or a comprehensive explanation using one way only.
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Indicative content</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2 (d) AO2       | Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  
|                 | • criminals need to be punished  
|                 | • people do not know the outcome of their actions  
|                 | • following the rule may result in others being hurt  
|                 | Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:  
|                 | • it is the teaching of Jesus  
|                 | • it allows a flexible approach  
|                 | • it is easy to understand  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
|                 | **Award marks as follows:**  
|                 | Candidates who do not refer to Christianity in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  
|                 | (i) Own opinion  
|                 | **1 mark** for  
|                 | • a simple reason  
|                 | **2 marks** for  
|                 | • a developed reason  
|                 | • or two simple reasons  
|                 | **3 marks** for  
|                 | • three simple reasons  
|                 | • or two developed reasons  
|                 | • or a fully developed reason  
|                 | (ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion  
|                 | **1 mark** for  
|                 | • a simple reason  
|                 | **2 marks** for  
|                 | • a developed reason  
|                 | • or two simple reasons  
|                 | **3 marks** for  
|                 | • three simple reasons  
|                 | • or two developed reasons  
|                 | • or a fully developed reason  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Question 1 (a, b, c, d)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Errors severely hinder the meaning of the response or candidates do not spell, punctuate or use the rules of grammar within the context of the demands of the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threshold performance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1 mark</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with reasonable accuracy in the context of the demands of the question. Any errors do not hinder meaning in the response. Where required, they use a limited range of specialist terms appropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate performance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2-3 marks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with considerable accuracy and general control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a good range of specialist terms with facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High performance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4 marks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candidates spell, punctuate and use the rules of grammar with consistent accuracy and effective control of meaning in the context of the demands of the question. Where required, they use a wide range of specialist terms adeptly and with precision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Correct Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (a) AO1</td>
<td>• injecting semen into the uterus by artificial means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• putting sperm into a female without sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Indicative content

Answers which think religious people should donate their organs when they die are likely to use such reasons as:

- it brings life out of death
- organs would be wasted otherwise
- it shows love of your neighbour

Answers which do not think religious people should donate their organs when they die are likely to use such reasons as:

- it may contravene religious teachings
- it is ‘playing God’
- the body should be left in peace

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

### Award marks as follows:

For a personal response with:
- one brief reason (e.g. it may contravene religious teachings)  

1 mark

For a personal response with:
- two brief reasons
- or one developed reason (e.g. it may contravene religious teachings. Muslims believe they need their bodies to be intact for resurrection)  

2 marks

For a personal response with:
- two reasons with one developed

3 marks

For a personal response with:
- two developed reasons

4 marks
The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)

3 (c) AO1

The main ways include:
- using alternative energy supplies
- investing in carbon capture
- use less fuel
- by recycling used goods

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review. A list should only be credited at level 1=2 marks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
- giving a way  
- not explaining but only describing the reference  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using two brief ways  
- or a developed way.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using three brief ways  
- or a fully developed way  
- or two ways with one developed.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Level 4</strong></th>
<th>7-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• using four brief ways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two developed ways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two ways, one brief and one fully developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or three ways with one developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or a comprehensive explanation using one way only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Indicative content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 (d) AO2        | Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  
• God will provide  
• renewable resources will not run out  
• alternative technologies reduce the use of limited natural resources  

Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:  
• non-renewable resources cannot be replaced  
• increasing population will mean demand outstrips supply  
• people live wasteful lifestyles  

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  

**Award marks as follows:**  
Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  

(i) Own opinion  
**1 mark** for  
• a simple reason  
**2 marks** for  
• a developed reason  
• or two simple reasons  
**3 marks** for  
• three simple reasons  
• or two developed reasons  
• or a fully developed reason  

(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion  
**1 mark** for  
• a simple reason  
**2 marks** for  
• a developed reason  
• or two simple reasons  
**3 marks** for  
• three simple reasons  
• or two developed reasons  
• or a fully developed reason
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Correct Answer</th>
<th>Partially Correct Answer</th>
<th>Reject</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4 (a) AO1       | • the surroundings in which plants and animals live (and on which they depend to live)  
• the world around us  
• where we live  
*Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.*                                                                 | • the climate  
• the world  
• plants and animals  

*Any alternative wording of the above point is acceptable.*                                                                 | Answers which define a different key word                                                                 | 2   |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **4 (b) AO2**   | **Indicative content**  
        Answers which think stewardship is an important religious teaching for the world today are likely to use such reasons as:  
        • it is commanded by God  
        • humans are already suffering the effects of poor stewardship  
        • it will be more effective if everybody does it  
        Answers which do not think stewardship is an important religious teaching for the world today are likely to use such reasons as:  
        • some religious people ignore these teachings  
        • God will look after his world  
        • the environment can look after itself  
        Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
        **Award marks as follows:**  
        For a personal response with:  
        • one brief reason (e.g. we are already suffering the effects of poor stewardship)  
          1 mark  
        For a personal response with:  
        • two brief reasons  
        • or one developed reason (e.g. we are already suffering the effects of poor stewardship like global warming)  
          2 marks  
        For a personal response with:  
        • two reasons with one developed  
          3 marks  
        For a personal response with:  
        • two developed reasons  
          4 marks |
### Question Number

**The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)**

**4 (c) AO1**

The main reasons include:
- it gives couples the joy of children
- infertility is a medical problem that can be cured
- infertility can lead to marriage breakdown
- infertility can lead to depression

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
• giving a brief reason  
• not explaining but only describing the issue.  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
• using two brief reasons  
• or a developed reason.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
• using three brief reasons  
• or a fully developed reason  
• or two reasons with one developed.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
Level 4  7-8

A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:
- using four brief reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed
- or three reasons with one developed
- or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.

The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Indicative content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4 (d) AO2       | Reasons for supporting this statement could be:  
|                 | • they can follow the teaching of stewardship  
|                 | • they can use less energy  
|                 | • they can develop ‘cleaner’ technology  
|                 | Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:  
|                 | • there are natural sources of pollution  
|                 | • current lifestyles cause pollution  
|                 | • only God can solve the problem  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
|                 | **Award marks as follows:**  
|                 | Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).  
|                 | (i) Own opinion  
|                 | 1 mark for  
|                 | • a simple reason  
|                 | 2 marks for  
|                 | • a developed reason  
|                 | • or two simple reasons  
|                 | 3 marks for  
|                 | • three simple reasons  
|                 | • or two developed reasons  
|                 | • or a fully developed reason  
|                 | (ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion  
|                 | 1 mark for  
|                 | • a simple reason  
|                 | 2 marks for  
|                 | • a developed reason  
|                 | • or two simple reasons  
|                 | 3 marks for  
|                 | • three simple reasons  
|                 | • or two developed reasons  
|                 | • or a fully developed reason  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 (d) AO2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Correct Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (a) AO1</td>
<td>• weapons that can destroy large areas (and numbers of people)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• weapons that can kill large numbers of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Indicative content**

Answers which think religious people should fight in wars are likely to use such reasons as:

- some wars are fought for religious reasons
- different religions think they are right about their relationship with God and will fight to have their view accepted
- some religions teach they must fight if their faith is under attack

Answers which do not think religious people should fight in wars are likely to use such reasons as:

- ‘Do not kill’
- Jesus said to love your enemies
- it is not loving to fight in a war

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

**Award marks as follows:**

For a personal response with:
- one brief reason (e.g. it is not loving to fight in a war)  
  **1 mark**

For a personal response with:
- two brief reasons
- or one developed reason (e.g. it is not loving to fight in a war, innocent civilians always suffer)  
  **2 marks**

For a personal response with:
- two reasons with one developed  
  **3 marks**

For a personal response with:
- two developed reasons  
  **4 marks**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5 (c) AO1       | **Indicative content**  
|                 | **ISLAM**  
|                 | The main reasons Muslims are against bullying are:  
|                 | • violence without a just cause is sinful  
|                 | • bullying is mistreating Allah’s creation  
|                 | • Muslims have a duty to protect the weak and innocent  
|                 | • Muslims will be judged on how they treat other people  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
|                 | **BUDDHISM**  
|                 | The main reasons Buddhists are against bullying are:  
|                 | • ahimsa encourages Buddhists to be non-violent  
|                 | • bullying is caused by greed and hatred  
|                 | • Buddhists try to develop an attitude of metta (loving kindness)  
|                 | • the precepts instruct Buddhists to do no harm  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
|                 | **HINDUISM**  
|                 | The main reasons Hindus are against bullying are:  
|                 | • violence without a just cause is sinful  
|                 | • ahimsa encourages Hindus to be non-violent  
|                 | • the soul is part of the divine essence and should not be mistreated  
|                 | • protecting the weak and innocent will bring good karma  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.  
|                 | **JUDAISM**  
|                 | The main reasons Jewish people are against bullying are:  
|                 | • violence without a just cause is sinful  
|                 | • bullying is mistreating God’s creation  
|                 | • Jewish people have a duty to protect the weak and innocent  
|                 | • Jewish people will be judged on how they treat other people  
|                 | Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review. |
SIKHISM
The main reasons Sikhs are against bullying are:
- violence without a just cause is sinful
- the soul is part of the divine essence and should not be mistreated
- protecting the weak and innocent will bring good karma
- bullying is self-centred

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
- giving a brief reason  
- not explaining but only describing the issue.  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using two brief reasons  
- or a developed reason.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using three brief reasons  
- or a fully developed reason  
- or two reasons with one developed.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
• using four brief reasons  
• or two developed reasons  
• or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed  
• or three reasons with one developed  
• or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only. 

The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 (d) AO2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicative content**

The response must refer to a specific religious reason. The name of a religious organisation is not a religious reason.

Reasons for supporting this statement could be:
- they have limited authority
- demonstrating against war does not change the government’s view
- many Christians believe they should obey the authority of the government and will fight

Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:
- they educate people on the horrors of war
- they organise inter-faith conferences to promote agreement
- they work to remove the causes of war

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

**Award marks as follows:**

Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).

(i) Own opinion

1 mark for
- a simple reason

2 marks for
- a developed reason
- or two simple reasons

3 marks for
- three simple reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or a fully developed reason

(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion

1 mark for
- a simple reason

2 marks for
- a developed reason
- or two simple reasons

3 marks for
- three simple reasons
- or two developed reasons
- or a fully developed reason
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Correct Answer</th>
<th>Partially Correct Answer</th>
<th>Reject</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 (a) AO1</td>
<td>• treating a person or their feelings with consideration</td>
<td>• an example of respectful behaviour</td>
<td>Answers which define a different key word</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to show esteem/honour for someone</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to “love your neighbour as yourself”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• treating people as you would like to be treated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Indicative content</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (b) AO2</td>
<td>Answers which think are the United Nations has made the world more peaceful likely to use such reasons as:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• it has imposed sanctions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• it has sent peace-keeping forces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• it has negotiated cease-fires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Answers which do not think the United Nations has made the world more peaceful are likely to use such reasons as:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• there are still wars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• it is part of human nature to have conflict</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• people do not listen to the United Nations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Award marks as follows:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For a personal response with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• one brief reason (e.g. it has sent in peace-keeping forces)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For a personal response with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• two brief reasons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or one developed reason (e.g. it has sent in peace-keeping forces, they were used to keep the warring sides apart in Kosovo)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For a personal response with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• two reasons with one developed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For a personal response with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• two developed reasons</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)

### 6 (c) AO1

The main reasons include:
- Jesus died on the cross to reconcile people to God
- Jesus told Peter to forgive his brother seventy times seven/seventy-seven times
- Jesus said to be forgiven people have to forgive
- the Church teaches the importance of forgiveness and reconciliation

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
- giving a brief reason  
- not explaining but only describing the issue.  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using two brief reasons  
- or a developed reason.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using three brief reasons  
- or a fully developed reason  
- or two reasons with one developed.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| Level 4 | 7-8 | A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
• using four brief reasons  
• or two developed reasons  
• or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed  
• or three reasons with one developed  
• or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning. |
### Indicative content

Reasons for supporting this statement could be:
- innocent civilians always suffer
- some countries have more powerful weapons
- wars are fought for economic reasons which cannot be just

Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:
- some wars are fought to defend a country against aggression
- some wars are fought in support of oppressed people
- Sikhs can fight in wars if all peaceful methods have failed

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

### Award marks as follows:

Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).

(i) Own opinion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
<td>a simple reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
<td>a developed reason or two simple reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
<td>three simple reasons or two developed reasons or a fully developed reason</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
<td>a simple reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
<td>a developed reason or two simple reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
<td>three simple reasons or two developed reasons or a fully developed reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Correct Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7 (a) AO1       | • an act against the law  
                  • illegal activities  
                  **Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable.** | • an example of a crime  
                          • a sin  
                          • doing a bad thing  
                          **Any alternative wording of the above point is acceptable.** | Answers which define a different key word | 2 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>7 (b) AO2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indicative content</strong>&lt;br&gt;Answers which think non-religious people should support capital punishment are likely to use such reasons as:&lt;br&gt;- it brings justice to the victim’s family&lt;br&gt;- it has a deterrent effect&lt;br&gt;- it is legal in some countries&lt;br&gt;Answers which do not think non-religious people should support capital punishment are likely to use such reasons as:&lt;br&gt;- some people believe killing is wrong&lt;br&gt;- it can lead to a miscarriage of justice&lt;br&gt;- the deterrent effect does not work&lt;br&gt;Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Award marks as follows:</strong>&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;For a personal response with:&lt;br&gt;- one brief reason (e.g. it can lead to a miscarriage of justice) 1 mark&lt;br&gt;For a personal response with:&lt;br&gt;- two brief reasons&lt;br&gt;- or one developed reason (e.g. it can lead to a miscarriage of justice, for example the case of Derek Bentley) 2 marks&lt;br&gt;For a personal response with:&lt;br&gt;- two reasons with one developed 3 marks&lt;br&gt;For a personal response with:&lt;br&gt;- two developed reasons 4 marks</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)

7 (c) AO1

The main reasons include:
- some drugs are damaging to health
- some drugs are addictive
- there is no way of knowing if the drug was safely produced
- to protect vulnerable people from exploitation

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Level 1** | 1-2 | Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:  
- giving a brief reason  
- not explaining but only describing the issue.  
The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors. |
| **Level 2** | 3-4 | Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using two brief reasons  
- or a developed reason.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| **Level 3** | 5-6 | A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:  
- using three brief reasons  
- or a fully developed reason  
- or two reasons with one developed.  
The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>7-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• using four brief reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two developed reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or three reasons with one developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (d) AO2</td>
<td><strong>Indicative content</strong>&lt;br&gt;Reasons for supporting this statement could be:&lt;br&gt;• it reduces reoffending&lt;br&gt;• it turns criminals into productive members of society&lt;br&gt;• everyone deserves a second chance&lt;br&gt;Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:&lt;br&gt;• victims want the criminal to suffer&lt;br&gt;• the Torah says “an eye for an eye”&lt;br&gt;• there is no deterrent effect&lt;br&gt;Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review. <strong>Award marks as follows:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).&lt;br&gt;(i) Own opinion&lt;br&gt;<strong>1 mark</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• a simple reason&lt;br&gt;<strong>2 marks</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• a developed reason&lt;br&gt;• or two simple reasons&lt;br&gt;<strong>3 marks</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• three simple reasons&lt;br&gt;• or two developed reasons&lt;br&gt;• or a fully developed reason&lt;br&gt;(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion&lt;br&gt;<strong>1 mark</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• a simple reason&lt;br&gt;<strong>2 marks</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• a developed reason&lt;br&gt;• or two simple reasons&lt;br&gt;<strong>3 marks</strong> for&lt;br&gt;• three simple reasons&lt;br&gt;• or two developed reasons&lt;br&gt;• or a fully developed reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>Correct Answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8 (a) AO1       | • the idea that punishments should try to change criminals so that they will not commit crimes again  
• changing something to improve it  
Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. (2) | • an example of reform e.g. doing exams in prison  
Any alternative wording of the above points is acceptable. (1) | Answers which define a different key word (0) | 2 |
###Indicative content

Answers which think religious people should drink alcohol are likely to use such reasons as:
- Jesus turned water into wine
- wine is used in Holy Communion
- the Bible condemns drunkenness not drinking

Answers which do not think religious people should drink alcohol are likely to use such reasons as:
- it is banned by the Qur’an
- it prevents people fulfilling their religious duties
- they should set a good example

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

###Award marks as follows:

- **For a personal response with:**
  - one brief reason (e.g. it is banned by the Qur’an) **1 mark**
- **For a personal response with:**
  - two brief reasons
  - or one developed reason (e.g. it is banned by the Qur’an. Muslims cannot even work in a place that sells alcohol) **2 marks**
- **For a personal response with:**
  - two reasons with one developed **3 marks**
- **For a personal response with:**
  - two developed reasons **4 marks**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Indicative content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 (c) AO1</td>
<td>The quality of written communication will be assessed in this answer (strands i, ii and iii)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main reasons include:
- the Bible says "an eye for an eye"
- capital punishment was used in the Old Testament
- the Church used capital punishment
- it acts as a deterrent

Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>No rewardable material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong></td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Little understanding of the issue shown, typically by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- giving a brief reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- not explaining but only describing the issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The answer is likely to be in basic English. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>Basic understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- using two brief reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- or a developed reason.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The candidate is likely to express understanding with a limited command of English and little use of specialist vocabulary. The range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>A more developed understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- using three brief reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- or a fully developed reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- or two reasons with one developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear style of English and some use of specialist vocabulary. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>A clear understanding of the issue is shown typically by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• using four brief reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• or two developed reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• or two reasons, one brief and one fully developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• or three reasons with one developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• or a comprehensive explanation using one reason only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The candidate is likely to express understanding in a clear and correct style of English with a correct use of specialist vocabulary where appropriate. The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 (d) AO2</td>
<td>Reasons for supporting this statement could be:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the law is based on the Decalogue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jesus said “Give unto Caesar that which is Caesars”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• St Paul said Christians should obey the law of the land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reasons for not supporting this statement could be:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• some laws are unjust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• breaking the law may be the lesser of two evils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• breaking the law may be the most loving thing to do</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other approaches are possible and must be marked according to the levels. If you are unsure of the validity of an answer, it must be sent to review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Award marks as follows:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candidates who do not refer to at least one religion in either (i) or (ii) cannot go beyond 3 marks for the whole of (d).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(i) Own opinion</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 <strong>mark</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a simple reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 <strong>marks</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a developed reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or two simple reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 <strong>marks</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• three simple reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or two developed reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or a fully developed reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Why some people may disagree with their opinion</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 <strong>mark</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a simple reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 <strong>marks</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a developed reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or two simple reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 <strong>marks</strong> for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• three simple reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or two developed reasons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• or a fully developed reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>