



GCE MARK SCHEME

SUMMER 2015

HISTORY - UNIT HY4
DEPTH STUDY 9

NAZI GERMANY 1933-1945

1234/12

HISTORY
MARK SCHEME
HY4
DEPTH STUDY 9
ASPECTS OF THE HISTORY OF NAZI GERMANY 1933-1945
SECTION A

Distribution of marks within each level for each question, explaining the weighting for each assessment objective.

	AO1a	AO1b	Total
Level 1	1 mark	1-3 marks	4
Level 2	2-3 marks	4-11 marks	14
Level 3	4-6 marks	12-16 marks	22
Level 4	7-10 marks	17-22 marks	32
Level 5	11-12 marks	23-28 marks	40

Marking guidance

Deciding on the mark point within a level

The first stage for an examiner is to decide the overall level and then whether the work represents high, mid or low performance within the level.

Quality of written communication

This issue is determined by the quality of written communication as described in the level descriptor in which the answer has been placed. Examiners should place the response at the appropriate sub-level. If the quality of the written communication descriptor is warranted, then the highest mark within that sub-level should be awarded.

ASSESSMENT GRID FOR SECTION A ESSAYS

Target: AO1

Total mark: 40

Focus: Recall, selection and deployment of appropriate historical knowledge; demonstrate understanding of the past through explanation, analysis and arriving at substantiated judgements about key concepts

Level 1

Generally at this level, the candidate's response will be brief and / or irrelevant to the question set. They may recall some historical knowledge and they will show some accuracy in spelling, punctuation and grammar

Award 0 for incorrect or incomplete answers.

Level 1	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(1 mark)	(1-3 marks)
	The candidate is able to recall some historical knowledge and there is some accuracy in spelling, punctuation and grammar.	<p>Low Level 1: 1 mark</p> <p>The answer will be limited to generalised comments on the topic with little understanding of the concept set in the question and is generally irrelevant.</p> <p>High Level 1: 2 -3 marks</p> <p>The answer will be limited to some comments on the topic with little understanding of the concept set in the question.</p>

Level 2

Generally at this level, candidates will show evidence of understanding in their explanation of the key concept set in the question. They will show evidence of understanding through limited analysis and explanation. They will offer some judgement about the key concepts. They will be able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge appropriately. The candidates will convey meaning with some clarity and with appropriate vocabulary, although there may be errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 2	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(2-3 marks)	(4-11 marks)
	<p>Low Level 2: 2 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some general knowledge of the period with some appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>High Level 2: 3 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy general knowledge of the key issues in the period set with mostly appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 2: 4-7 marks</p> <p>The answer will begin to show some evidence of limited understanding and explanation of factors. It will offer some comments about the relationships between the key issues and features of the historical period. The answer will be generally focussed on the topic area and has some basic explanation of something in the question; these answers may well be a narrative account with little attempt to provide a judgement on the question set.</p> <p>High Level 2: 8-11 marks</p> <p>The answer will begin to focus on the set question and will show some limited analysis and explanation of the concept set in the question. It will offer some judgement about the relationship between the key historical features and characteristics in relation to the question set. The answer will be relevant and valid but with a weak or sketchy focus on the key concept in the question; a largely topic based response with little specific judgement offered on the question set.</p>

Level 3

Generally at this level, candidates will show evidence of understanding through analysis and explanation. They will reach a judgement about the key concepts supported by the arguments they deploy. Candidates will recall, select and deploy relevant historical knowledge and will convey meaning clearly and with appropriate vocabulary, organisation and spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 3	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(4-6 marks)	(12-16 marks)
	<p>Low Level 3: 4 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge with some appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>Mid Level 3: 5 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge with reasonable organisation and with appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 3: 12 marks</p> <p>The answer has some focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer some valid discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well be a largely narrative account but with some attempt to provide a judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, tends not to be supported by the argument being made though it may well have factual support.</p> <p>Mid Level 3: 13-14 marks</p> <p>The answer has an appropriate focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well contain some narrative but there will be an attempt to provide supporting arguments to reach a judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, tends to be partially supported by the argument being made in the response. The responses may follow a listing approach but there will be some focus and judgement on the key concept in the question set.</p>

	<p>High Level 3: 6 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy generally relevant historical knowledge and the response will be clearly expressed with appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>High Level 3: 15-16 marks</p> <p>The answer has a clear focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and broadly balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well contain some narrative but there will be an attempt to provide supporting arguments to reach a broadly balanced judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, is generally supported by the argument being made in the response. These responses may well discuss the key issue in the question set when coming to a judgement by considering factors for and against, often as two half essays.</p>
--	---	--

Level 4

Generally for this level, candidates will show understanding through analysis and explanation to reach a substantiated and mostly sustained judgement about the key concepts. They will recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Candidates will communicate accurately and fluently with appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 4	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(7-10 marks)	(17-22 marks)
	<p>Low Level 4: 7 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy mostly accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer shows organisation, appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>Mid Level 4: 8 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy mostly accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer communicates clearly with appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 4: 17-18 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and meaningful discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated judgement on the question set so that the judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated judgement regarding the key concepts though there may be some lack of focus in sustaining the judgement.</p> <p>Mid Level 4: 19-20 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement on the question set. The judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement regarding the key concepts.</p>

	<p>High Level 4: 9-10 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer communicates accurately, clearly and fluently, using appropriate language and structure, using spelling, punctuation and grammar generally with a high degree of accuracy.</p>	<p>High Level 4: 21-22 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of a thorough understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement on the question set. The judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated, supported and sustained judgement regarding the key concepts.</p>
--	---	--

Level 5

Generally for this level, candidates will show understanding through analysis and explanation to reach a substantiated and fully sustained judgement about the key concepts. They will recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Candidates will communicate accurately and fluently using appropriate language and structure, and using spelling, punctuation and grammar with a high degree of accuracy

Level 5	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(11-12 marks)	(23-28 marks)
	<p>Low Level 5: 11 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Answers will be fluent and well-organised with a high degree of accuracy in the use of spelling, punctuation and grammar, and showing appropriate language and structure.</p> <p>High Level 5: 12 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge is recalled, selected and deployed. Answers will be lucid and coherent, fluent and well-organised with a high degree of accuracy in the use of spelling, punctuation and grammar and demonstrating appropriate language and structure.</p>	<p>Low Level 5: 23-25 marks</p> <p>The answer has throughout a specific focus on discussing the key concepts in the question set. The answer will include supporting arguments covering most of the period set in the question. Understanding is further shown through well focussed analysis and evaluation of the key features and characteristics of the historical period which leads to a substantiated, sustained and integrated judgement regarding the key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance.</p> <p>High Level 5: 26-28 marks</p> <p>The answer sustains a specific focus on discussing the key concepts in the question set. The answer will include supporting arguments covering the whole of the period set in the question. Understanding is further shown through well focussed analysis and evaluation of the key features and characteristics of the historical period which leads to a substantiated, sustained and integrated judgement regarding the key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance.</p>

INDICATIVE CONTENT FOR SECTION A QUESTION 1

NOTE: This content is not prescriptive and candidates are not expected to mention all the material referred to below. Each answer will be assessed on its merits according to the generic mark scheme as well as the indicative content.

To what extent was the appeal of Nazi ideology mainly responsible for maintaining the support of the German people between 1933-1945?

Candidates are expected to consider and debate, over and within the given period, the full range of issues that affected the key concept in the question – in this case, whether the appeal of Nazi ideology was mainly responsible for maintaining the support of the German people between 1933-1945. They will consider a range of key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance where appropriate and also the relationship between the key characteristics and features associated with the issue in the question.

Candidates will offer an analysis and evaluation of whether the appeal of Nazi ideology was mainly responsible for maintaining the support of the German people between 1933-1945, in the context of Nazi propaganda, terror, and the other Nazi policies. In order to reach a substantiated judgement about whether the appeal of Nazi ideology was mainly responsible for maintaining the support of the German people between 1933-1945, candidates may consider and debate a range of issues such as:

- the relationship between the key characteristics related to whether the appeal of Nazi ideology was mainly responsible for maintaining the support of the German people between 1933-1945
- the extent to which there was continuity as well as change in the degree of support for Nazi ideology during and over this period in relation to specific aspects of Nazi ideology: such as Volksgemeinschaft, Social Darwinism or racial theory
- the positive and passive response to some aspects of Nazi ideology
- many supported the Nazis because they served their interests as Nazi ideology became vague and flexible enough to accommodate widespread support
- Nazi ideology and organisations represented the aspirations of many Germans. National Socialism meant different things to different groups of people
- the regime received a great deal of help from ordinary Germans through denunciations which suggests conformity to Nazi ideology
- however, the more popular the Nazis were, the less likely that the people were forced into obeying a regime against their will
- however, the state apparatus and totalitarian regime used propaganda to dupe its population and terror to cow them into submission
- candidates may attempt to reach a balanced judgement that it was a question of different degrees of support at different time in relation to different issues
- neither propaganda nor terror alone could have sustained the regime. The Germans were not mindless robots and the threat of terror was counterbalanced by the positive image that Germans held about the regime.

INDICATIVE CONTENT FOR SECTION A QUESTION 2

NOTE: This content is not prescriptive and candidates are not expected to mention all the material referred to below. Each answer will be assessed on its merits according to the generic mark scheme as well as the indicative content.

‘The main reason for Germany’s defeat in the Second World War was her economy.’ Discuss.

Candidates are expected to consider and debate, over and within the given period, the full range of issues that affected the key concept in the question – in this case, the factors that led to Germany’s defeat in the Second World War. They will consider a range of key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance where appropriate and also the relationship between the key characteristics and features associated with the issue in the question.

Candidates will offer an analysis and evaluation of Germany’s economy in the context of other factors that led to defeat such as tactical errors, the power of the West or flawed leadership. In order to reach a substantiated judgement about the lack of military preparation, candidates may consider and debate a range of issues such as:

- the relationship between the key characteristics influencing German defeat
- the extent to which there was continuity as well as change in economic and military planning and preparation during and over this period
- the extent to which Blitzkrieg was a strategy for small localised wars, interspersed with period of peace in which the economy could recover, rather than a strategy for prolonged warfare.
- in the face of overwhelming forces the Germans were unable to mobilise an economy that was geared to Blitzkrieg , and not a prolonged war
- furthermore, its organisation was confused and inefficient which led to a shortage of manpower and raw materials. The German economy was under stress from 1943 onwards with resources and economic power stretched beyond the limits of logistics
- however, it has been argued that the Four year Plan had been geared to meet the demands for prolonged warfare
- the work of Speer
- the relationship between the other key characteristics should be evaluated such as the fact that Hitler made strategic errors such as the invasion of Russia and the lack of preparation for Operation Sea Lion
- the war on two fronts and the alliance with Italy
- yet the speed and concentrated power of Blitzkrieg produced positive results and Hitler came close to capturing Stalingrad and defeating Britain in Egypt
- the balance of industrial and economic forces favoured the West
- Hitler’s leadership affected the outcome of the war as well as his relationship with the generals
- the strength of resistance may also be evaluated.