



GCE A LEVEL

A150U20-1



**MONDAY, 13 JUNE 2022 – AFTERNOON**

**LAW – A level component 2**  
**Substantive Law in Practice**

2 hours 15 minutes

**ADDITIONAL MATERIALS**

A WJEC pink 16-page answer booklet.

**INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

Use black ink or black ball-point pen. Do not use gel pen or correction fluid.

Answer **three** questions in total, each question from a different section.

**Section A** – Law of Contract (private law)

**Section B** – Law of Tort (private law)

**Section C** – Criminal Law (public law)

**Section D** – Human Rights Law (public law)

The same three sections that you choose for this examination must also be chosen for the component 3 examination.

Write your answers in the separate answer booklet provided. Use both sides of the paper. Write only within the white areas of the booklet.

Write the question number in the two boxes in the left-hand margin at the start of each answer,

for example 

0	1
---	---

.

Leave at least two line spaces between each answer.

**INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES**

The number of marks is given in brackets at the end of each question or part-question.

Answer **three** questions in total.

### Section A

#### Law of Contract

Answer **one** question from this section if you have studied this area of private law.

The questions which follow require you to:

- demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the English legal system
- apply legal rules and principles to the scenario and
- present a legal argument using appropriate legal terminology.

Credit will be given for the use of relevant supporting case law and authority.

**Either,**

0	1
---	---

Fatou bought a new filter system for her swimming pool from Leisure Life Ltd who assured her that it would be perfect for improving the quality of the water in her pool. Fatou arranged independently for Grant to install the filter system. Grant missed two appointments, for which Fatou had taken time off work. When he finally turned up for the third appointment, Grant damaged the mosaic tiles around the pool when he dropped his tools whilst installing the filter system. Though Grant properly installed the filter system, it was of poor quality and failed to improve the water quality, leading to green moss forming on the top of the pool. Leisure Life Ltd refused to accept any responsibility. Grant also pointed out that Fatou had signed a “completion of work” form, which included a statement that he would not be liable for any damage resulting from the installation work.

Advise Fatou whether there has been a breach of any implied or express terms for the purchase and installation of the filter system, applying your knowledge of legal rules and principles. [25]

**Or,**

0	2
---	---

Sunrise Holidays agreed to rent Rose Cottage, a seaside holiday home in Cornwall, which it owns, to David and Kate and their two children, for the two weeks of their summer holiday in July. David and Kate paid the price of the holiday in full. There was very wet weather just before the holiday, as a result of which there was a substantial leak of water through the cottage roof. This made Rose Cottage uninhabitable until it dried out and repairs were carried out. These would not be completed until after the period for which David and Kate had rented the cottage. David and Kate believed that the cottage had not been properly maintained and this was the cause of the damage. As a result of the flooding, Sunrise Holidays telephoned and cancelled David and Kate’s holiday at Rose Cottage, claiming that the contract had been frustrated. David and Kate had already travelled to Cornwall. They then booked an alternative cottage from Cosy Cottages, but as they were booking late there was limited choice and they had to pay an additional £300. In addition, Kate and the children complained that they were too far from the sea and could not enjoy the water sports had they stayed in Rose Cottage.

Advise David and Kate of the rights and remedies against Sunrise Holidays, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

## Section B

### Law of Tort

Answer **one** question from this section if you have studied this area of private law.

The questions which follow require you to:

- demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the English legal system
- apply legal rules and principles to the scenario and
- present a legal argument using appropriate legal terminology.

Credit will be given for the use of relevant supporting case law and authority.

**Either,**

0	3
---	---

Bao runs a small museum specialising in Egyptian artefacts. There are several signs displayed prominently that read “Please do not touch the exhibits” and two of the rarest pieces are also roped off to protect them from the public. Sally, a visitor to the museum, having left her glasses in her car, fails to notice the signs and wanders into the roped off area where she cuts her hand badly on an ancient Egyptian hunting knife. Lily works in the newly refurbished coffee shop at the museum, which was fitted out by Lennox, a local handyman. Lennox struggled with some of the wiring, not being experienced with electrical work and this causes a power surge during which the coffee machine explodes, causing Lily to suffer severe burns.

Advise Sally and Lily if Bao could be held liable in connection with their injuries under the tort of occupiers’ liability, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

**Or,**

0	4
---	---

Richard owns a hotel and golf course. Juan plays at the golf course every week. Juan suffered injuries to his leg following an accident in a golf buggy. He was a passenger when Richard drove the buggy on a steep slope. Juan claimed that he was thrown out of the buggy when Richard lost control of it. He broke his left leg, tearing his muscles and puncturing his skin caused by the severe protruding break. As a result, he suffered severe pain and had to undergo complex surgery at a private medical facility, where he also received plastic surgery to repair the skin. Juan was unable to work for over a year because of his injuries. He was self-employed. Juan is no longer able to play golf as a result of the lasting damage to his leg.

Advise Juan, following his successful negligence claim, as to the types of damages he will be awarded for the injuries he suffered, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

## Section C

### Criminal Law

Answer **one** question from this section if you have studied this area of public law.

The questions which follow require you to:

- demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the English legal system
- apply legal rules and principles to the scenario and
- present a legal argument using appropriate legal terminology.

Credit will be given for the use of relevant supporting case law and authority.

**Either,**

0	5
---	---

A charity which helps ex-offenders began renovating a house and turning it into a hostel for newly released prisoners. Many of the people who lived nearby were against the hostel, as they feared that its presence would affect the value of their own houses and make them harder to sell. One local resident, Mike, decided to take matters into his own hands. Under cover of darkness, he broke into the hostel and began to damage the fittings and throw paint all over the walls. Suddenly one of the social workers, Claire, appeared with her mobile phone in her hand, ready to call the police. To stop her, Mike punched her as hard as he could, knocking her unconscious. Thinking he had killed her, Mike tried to make it look as if Claire had died in an arson attack by setting fire to a pile of cleaning cloths before running from the burning building. It so happened that Claire's phone had already connected with the emergency services before she fell unconscious, and the ambulance and fire brigade were there within minutes. Claire was brought out alive from the building, but died later in hospital when the junior doctor, Rosie, failed to diagnose a fractured skull.

Advise Mike as to whether he may be criminally liable for the death of Claire, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

**Or,**

0	6
---	---

Cai and Abdul were best friends until Cai started going out with Abdul's ex-girlfriend, Dara. Cai and Dara were sitting together in the cinema when Cai received a text message from Abdul which said: "I am behind you. Be very afraid". Dara read the text, and turned pale with fright. Seconds later, Cai was hit on the back of the head by an empty popcorn container thrown by Abdul. Cai decided that it was time he and Abdul ended their quarrel, so he located Abdul at the back of the cinema and suggested that they settle their differences as they always did, with a friendly fight. Abdul agreed to the plan, and the two young men squared up to one another in the street outside the cinema. Not wanting to hurt Abdul, Cai delivered a loose punch which barely grazed Abdul's face. Abdul retaliated with a blow that knocked out one of Cai's teeth. A passer-by, Joe, saw the blood coming from Cai's mouth, and ran up to separate them. Thinking that he was being attacked, Abdul kicked Joe in the stomach, causing him serious internal injuries.

Advise Abdul and Cai as to whether they may have committed any offences, taking account of any defences which may be available to them, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

## Section D

### Human Rights Law

Answer **one** question from this section if you have studied this area of public law.

The questions which follow require you to:

- demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the English legal system
- apply legal rules and principles to the scenario and
- present a legal argument using appropriate legal terminology.

Credit will be given for the use of relevant supporting case law and authority.

**Either,**

0	7
---	---

Eddie is a journalist who writes for The Weekly Probe, a magazine with a wide popular readership. He was approached by a soap star, Izzy Irving, who told him that she was having an affair with a famous actor and offered to reveal the man's identity in return for £20 000. At first Eddie refused to believe Izzy and told her to go away. However, shortly afterwards Eddie heard a rumour that the actor Charles Anthony, was dating an actress behind his wife's back. This convinced Eddie that he had discovered a good story, so he persuaded his editor to print a photo of Charles and his wife which had been digitally altered to make it appear that the woman in the photo was Izzy. The text which accompanied the photo was headlined, "Cheating Charles in Real Life Soap Drama!" and strongly suggested, without actually stating as a fact, that Charles and Izzy were in love. On the day that the article in the magazine appeared, the Opposition spokesman for culture and the arts, Jeremy Longmartin, commented in Parliament on the low state of morals among British actors and repeated his comments in a television interview in which he referred to Charles by name. Charles feels that the article and comments have completely destroyed his credibility as an actor and wants to make a claim against The Weekly Probe.

Advise Charles whether he can make a claim for defamation, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

**Or,**

0	8
---	---

PC Porter saw a man in the street who he thought he recognised as a member of a family of regular offenders. PC Porter stopped the man and said, "Aren't you Jac James?" Jac James replied, "No sir, never heard of him." PC Porter said, "I think you're lying", and proceeded to search him. PC Porter found nothing suspicious, but he was still not satisfied, so he told Jac he would need to come to the police station in order to establish his identity. Jac went willingly, unaware that he was under arrest. When they arrived at the police station, the custody officer said, "Hello, Jac, how's it going?" PC Porter said, "He says he's not Jac, so let's put him in a cell until he tells us who he is." Jac sat in the police cell for 24 hours. He asked to contact his family and to obtain legal advice, but his requests were refused. Jac was then taken to an interview room, where the police took his fingerprints. He was then questioned for twelve hours before being placed back in the cell. After 36 hours Superintendent Smith came on duty and wanted to know why there was no custody record for Jac. When the custody officer explained the circumstances, Superintendent Smith told him to give Jac bail and send him home.

Advise Jac as to the legality of the actions of the police, applying your knowledge and understanding of legal rules and principles. [25]

**END OF PAPER**

**BLANK PAGE**

**BLANK PAGE**